

# PSYCHOLOGY OF UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' ONLINE LEARNING ENGAGEMENT: CHALLENGES AND INTERVENTIONS IN PERSPECTIVE

**Joy GODWYNS-AGBUDE**

Department of Guidance and Counselling, Faculty of Education,  
Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti.

## **Abstract**

*The growing importance of online learning requires thorough knowledge about psychological factors which impact student engagement particularly within African higher education institutions. The research investigates four major engagement obstacles that affect university students which include cognitive overload, low motivation, social isolation and mental health strain. The research uses Self-determination theory and community of inquiry model to analyze how motivation and autonomy together with social presence and teaching support influence online engagement. The research uses empirical studies and case evidence from Nigerian universities to demonstrate practical low-cost interventions which include gamification and blended instruction and virtual counseling and low-tech communication tools. The research provides context-specific strategies to boost digital learning results in limited resource settings while offering implementable guidance for administrators and instructors and policymakers and students.*

**Keywords:** Student Engagement, Online Learning, Psychology, Motivation, Higher Education

## **Introduction**

Higher education institutions worldwide have experienced rapid digital educational transformation since the last decade through the rise of online learning as their primary teaching method (Allen & Seaman, 2017). The educational shift toward online learning has expanded university accessibility yet it creates substantial psychological obstacles which reduce student engagement in virtual classrooms. Students must navigate educational requirements independently in online learning because these environments lack direct contact points which traditional classrooms provide through their structured routines and immediate peer interactions (Martin & Bolliger, 2018).

Student engagement, which includes behavioral, emotional and cognitive aspects, serves as a proven factor for predicting academic achievement together with student satisfaction and

retention in higher education institutions (Fredricks, Blumenfeld, & Paris, 2004; Kuh, 2009; Okunuki, & Kashimura, 2024; Heilporn, G., & Lakhal, S. 2024; Bergdahl, Nouri, Fors & Knutsson, 2024)

The online learning environment makes the engagement process more complex. Students experience psychological barriers that include reduced motivation together with increased anxiety and social isolation and poor self-regulation skills which prevent them from staying engaged and performing well in digital classrooms (Kahu, 2013; Dixon, 2015).

This paper examines the psychological aspects of student engagement in online learning among university students. This study evaluates the internal and external obstacles which impact student engagement while presenting evidence-based interventions that enhance virtual educational engagement.

The three objectives of this research are to:

1. determine which psychological factors prevent students from engaging with online learning materials.
2. evaluate how these obstacles affect both academic results and mental health of university students.
3. assesses psychological and pedagogical interventions which demonstrate evidence-based effectiveness in maintaining student engagement throughout online courses.

Higher education institutions must understand psychological engagement dynamics because they need this knowledge to enhance teaching methods and student achievements in hybrid and online learning environments (Means et al., 2014). These factors need attention because they will improve academic results while building psychological strength and satisfaction among digital learners.

### **Theoretical Framework**

Student engagement within online learning needs psychological analysis which combines motivation with behavior and cognition together with emotion. Current psychological theories explain student behavior toward virtual learning environments through their ability to engage or disengage. Two main theoretical perspectives guide this research which include Self-Determination Theory (SDT) and Community of Inquiry (CoI) Framework because they provide advanced explanations for digital education engagement.

#### **Self-Determination Theory (SDT)**

The Self-Determination Theory which Deci and Ryan created states that human motivation ranges from intrinsic to extrinsic while being powered by three fundamental psychological requirements of autonomy competence and relatedness (Ryan & Deci, 2017). The online learning

environment demands students to organize their time and work tasks as well as their educational setting with very little outside direction. The high requirement for self-regulation increases the importance of autonomy and competence in the learning process (Hartnett, 2016).

Studies indicate that online courses with designed psychological need support through choice provision and expectation clarity and interactive feedback generate student engagement together with better emotional control and deeper learning results (Kizilcec & Halawa, 2015; Yu, Tian, Vogel & Kwok, 2020). Environments without attention to these basic needs will produce either amotivation or passive compliance behaviors.

#### **Community of Inquiry (CoI) Framework**

As an educational framework rather than a psychological model, the Community of Inquiry (CoI) has been used to evaluate the social and cognitive presence in online learning. The framework has three main elements, namely cognitive presence, teaching presence, and social presence (Garrison, Anderson & Archer, 2000). This framework has been developed further by the inclusion of emotional and motivational aspects, thus being more in line with psychological theories of engagement (Richardson et al., 2017).

Students are more engaged when they feel supported by their teachers, stay connected with their peers, and are guided to understand the subject through meaningful discussions. Poorly designed online courses are characterized by the absence of one or more of these presences, which results in psychological detachment and academic burnout (Stenbom, 2018).

These theories therefore highlight the complexity of the concept of engagement in online learning. They also highlight aspects such as autonomy, self-efficacy, social connection, and intrinsic interest, which are often threatened in

digital settings. It is therefore possible to propose a more detailed analysis of the psychological problems and the suggestions for solutions which correspond to the essential motivational and emotional requirements of students.

### **Online student engagement: Psychological challenges**

Online learning offers flexibility and accessibility but also poses psychological challenges that affect engagement. Research shows that students struggle to manage the cognitive, emotional, and social demands of digital environments. Peimani and Kamalipour, (2021) found that limited social presence increases isolation and disengagement, while Besser, Flett, and Zeigler-Hill, (2020) reported heightened stress, anxiety, and reduced motivation during online transitions. Aguilera-Hermida et al. (2021) further noted that students' well-being and motivation are directly linked to their adaptability, with psychological struggles leading to poorer academic outcomes. Together, these findings emphasize that mental health, motivation, and social interaction are central to sustaining engagement in online learning.

### **Cognitive Overload and Distractions**

Online learning environments are typically characterized by high levels of multimedia, asynchronous modules, and multitasking, which can result in cognitive overload. When learners are presented with large amounts of disorganized or excessive information, their working memory is overloaded, and as a result, they have poor understanding and low levels of engagement (Sweller, van Merriënboer & Paas, 2019). Furthermore, the home environment in which most students attend online courses is not a structured environment and hence they are easily distracted by social media, notifications and

household chores (Zureick-Brown et al., 2022).

### **Lack of Motivation and Self-Regulation**

In contrast to traditional classes that are characterized by strict time management and direct supervision by the teacher, online learning demands high levels of internal motivation and self-regulation (SRL) skills. According to Broadbent (2017), students experience low levels of motivation due to delayed feedback, feeling of isolation, and the lack of face-to-face accountability. Without intrinsic motivation or clear external incentives, students tend to procrastinate, avoid tasks, or even complete modules, which hampers their academic achievement.

### **Social Isolation and Reduced Sense of Belonging**

Online learning students frequently experience social disconnection as their main psychological stressor. Students who do not have opportunities to interact with peers or instructors experience decreased feelings of belonging which leads to lower emotional engagement and overall satisfaction (Xie, Heddy & Greene, 2019). The lack of physical community leads to decreased opportunities for collaborative learning as well as peer motivation and classroom identity (Bolliger & Inan, 2012).

### **Anxiety, Burnout, and Mental Health Strain**

Online learning combined with academic demands and social isolation leads to increasing anxiety levels together with digital exhaustion and burnout among online students. The COVID-19 pandemic period saw university students who took remote classes experience higher rates of stress along with depression and anxiety according to Son et al. (2020). Mental health problems affect students' ability to focus and decrease their enjoyment and

lead them toward disengagement or they choose to leave their courses.

### **Technological Inequity and Digital Fatigue**

Not every student possesses comparable access to dependable internet connections and personal technology devices together with sufficient digital competencies. The digital divide puts low-income students along with rural students and students of color at a greater disadvantage regarding their educational opportunities and leads to psychological distress from perceived unfairness in their academic environment (Muilenburg & Berge, 2016). The prolonged usage of digital devices generates digital fatigue that diminishes students' cognitive alertness while decreasing their motivation to attend prolonged virtual learning sessions (Lee, 2021).

These obstacles reveal the intricate mental aspects which influence student engagement during online learning. These findings underscore the necessity to implement specific interventions that prioritize student emotional needs while building community connections and reducing workload burdens and enhancing mental wellness.

### **Interventions and Strategies to Improve Engagement**

Universities need to implement evidence-based interventions which enhance student motivation and improve student connection and minimize stress for addressing psychological barriers in online learning. Educational strategies must surpass technological solutions by incorporating psychological and pedagogical and emotional support systems which serve students' comprehensive needs. The following intervention areas emerge from current research.

### **Instructional Design and Interactive Content**

Student engagement depends on proper instructional design as a fundamental principle. Studies demonstrate that interactive multimedia combined with real-world tasks and structured content segments leads students to maintain attention better while enhancing their mental engagement (Martin, Ritzhaupt, Kumar & Budhrani, 2019). Modular learning combined with embedded quizzes and video reflections creates an active learning environment and decreases mental overload (Guo, Kim & Rubin, 2014).

The implementation of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles effectively supports diverse learners because it enables multiple ways for students to learn through representation and expression and engagement (Al-Azawei, Serenelli & Lundqvist, 2016). The inclusive teaching methods assist students who need help with attention as well as processing and motivation while focusing on students with learning challenges.

### **Psychological Support Systems and Counseling**

Students need psychological services and mental health resources to maintain their emotional stability when learning online. Virtual counseling along with digital wellness check-ins and stress management workshops have shown positive effects on student retention and emotional student involvement (Becker, Bakal & Conklin, 2018). Online learning platforms that integrate mental health supports lead to enhanced student satisfaction and demonstrate increased institutional care (Lee et al., 2021).

### **Gamification and Motivation-Enhancing Tools**

Research evidence indicates that the implementation of badges and leaderboards with progress tracking and personalized

rewards through gamification leads to better student motivation and engagement within virtual learning environments (Dichev & Dicheva, 2017). This approach activates intrinsic motivation through instant feedback and defined objectives which deliver a feeling of accomplishment for students who experience procrastination or avoid tasks.

Research evidence shows that excessive gamification without proper learning outcome alignment can make content trivial or lead to short-term disengagement (Toda et al., 2019). Educational game components need purposeful integration for supporting meaningful learning instead of creating distractions.

### **Building Online Communities and Social Presence**

Online learners need a robust social presence to overcome the feelings of loneliness that many experience. Students can develop a strong social presence through peer discussion forums combined with group projects and ice-breaker activities and live synchronous sessions (Richardson et al., 2017). Faculty members who maintain regular teaching presence and facilitate open dialogue create better emotional connections with students which leads to higher student persistence.

Research confirms that students need to feel a sense of belonging in their online courses because this sense of connection directly predicts their decision to stay enrolled (Krause & Armitage, 2019). Virtual student lounges together with peer mentoring programs and collaborative assignments simulate the casual social connections which students experience in traditional classrooms. 4.5 Personalized Learning and Student Autonomy

Students who direct their learning route and choose specific subjects while advancing at individual rates experience

enhanced autonomy and competence according to Self-Determination Theory. Students benefit from adaptive learning platforms together with self-paced modules because these systems allow them to learn differently while increasing their engagement (Czerkowski & Lyman, 2016).

Students who practice reflection through learning journals or weekly goal setting develop self-regulated learning abilities which strengthen their academic resilience in the long term (Panadero, 2017).

Online learning design should focus beyond information transfer to create an environment that supports psychological growth according to these interventions. Online education quality along with student engagement improves when universities implement mental health support with autonomy features and interactive elements through purposeful design.

### **Case Studies and Research Evidence**

Online learning strategies for effective engagement are not only theoretical concepts but are currently being implemented in various educational settings around the world, including Nigeria. When universities suddenly moved to online learning during and after the COVID-19 pandemic, educators and institutions started testing and adjusting engagement strategies informed by psychological and pedagogical research. This section presents selected institutional case studies and empirical findings from around the world and in Nigeria to demonstrate how strategic interventions have enhanced student engagement in online learning.

### **Adaptive Learning and Personalized Pathways**

Arizona State University (ASU) is one of the leading institutions globally in the implementation of personalized and adaptive learning technologies, especially in large foundational courses such as

mathematics, science, and engineering. (Arizona State University, 2019; Association of Public and Land-grant Universities [APLU], 2018). Through platforms like Assessment and Learning in Knowledge Spaces (ALEKS) and Smart Sparrow, ASU individualizes learning by constantly modifying content depending on student pace, performance, and identified gaps in learning. This model is consistent with Self-Determination Theory that focuses on autonomy, competence, and mastery which are key to intrinsic motivation (Ryan & Deci, 2017).

The adaptive learning program implemented by ASU was found to have enhanced the course completion rates of students, especially the underrepresented and first generation, and their engagement scores and academic persistence (Pane et al., 2017). The faculty also observed that students engaged with the course content more actively when the pacing was aligned with their abilities.

### **E-Learning during the Pandemic**

The COVID-19 pandemic led to a significant interruption of the academic schedule of the University of Ibadan (UI). A needs-assessment survey conducted among students showed that they were highly disengaged because of frequent power outages, high data costs, and limited digital infrastructure (Ajadi, Salawu & Adeoye, 2021). To ensure that many students from all over the country could be reached, UI opted for a low-tech e-learning strategy.

Faculty were asked to provide audio lessons, share materials through WhatsApp, Telegram, and Google Drive and use asynchronous methods to allow students to learn at their own pace. These strategies worked on both the technological divide and the psychological factors of anxiety and information overload. At the end of the semester, feedback showed that students were more satisfied, emotionally involved

and completed more courses, especially in the Faculties of Arts and Education, where instructors included community-based learning tasks that made the course more relevant and motivating.

### **Blended Learning and Counseling Integration**

Covenant University is one of the pioneers of blended learning and psychosocial support for students in Nigeria (Adedoyin & Soykan, 2022; Coursera, 2020; Vanguard News, 2020). When online education became mandatory following the pandemic, the university introduced two intervention strategies for the staff: (1) virtual teaching and motivational pedagogy training for the staff and (2) virtual counseling services for all students.

Eze, Chinedu-Eze and Bello (2021) established that the combination of cognitive and emotional support enhanced the students' self-efficacy and course satisfaction. Students attended weekly virtual check-ins with both course instructors and counselors to discuss their problems, get encouragement and learn how to manage stress. This approach is based on the Transactional Distance Theory which posits that the reduction of the 'psychological distance' in online learning increases interaction and engagement. Also, the use of a Learning Management System such as Moodle assisted in the personalization of learning and behavioral tracking.

### **Community of Inquiry Model**

The University of South Africa (UNISA), the largest open and distance learning (ODL) institution in Africa, has been at the forefront of the implementation of the Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework (Chimbo, Mutezo & Maré, 2023; Ngubane-Mokiwa & Khoza, 2021). The model focuses on the triad of cognitive presence, social presence, and teaching

presence which are vital for effective engagement in online learning environments (Garrison et al., 2000; (Martin et al., 2022; Teng, 2024).

All the courses are designed to encourage learning through guided online discussion forums, peer evaluation, and e-journals. For instance, the CoI model was used in the School of Education to improve teaching practice modules with video-based peer assessment where students would watch teaching demonstrations and provide feedback that was guided. Tshabalala, Ndeya-Ndereya and van der Merwe (2014) found that the learners felt more motivated, felt part of a learning community, and got better learning outcomes especially for the working adult learners who had to balance school with work.

### **Enhancing Student Engagement through Online Facilitation**

The National Open University of Nigeria (NOUN) operates as a leading West African institution for distance learning while developing innovative methods to increase student participation within its online educational platform (Ezeanya, Ukaigwe, Ogbaga & Kwanashie, 2024; Catalyst IT, 2023). NOUN started implementing synchronous online facilitation sessions because asynchronous-only models proved insufficient for engaging its students who learn from different locations.

The research conducted by Adesina, Ofoha, Peters and Butswat, (2024) analyzed student responses to the facilitation sessions. Students appreciated the flexibility of online learning, but they reported feelings of isolation and delayed feedback and reduced motivation. The psychological and pedagogical gaps required NOUN to establish real-time virtual interactions between students and facilitators which replicated traditional classroom elements.

### **The intervention led to several key improvements:**

- Students' participation in course discussions increased because students could ask questions which received prompt responses.
- Students achieved better learning objectives understanding along with improved retention because of the immediate guidance during live sessions.
- Students developed stronger bonds with peers which decreased emotional detachment and dropout plans.

The case demonstrates why social presence and teaching presence must be integrated into the Community of Inquiry framework when distance learners face independent educational challenges. The model presented by NOUN shows how low-cost synchronous technologies can enhance educational engagement in educational systems that operate with limited resources.

### **Recommendations/Suggestions**

The psychological challenges and engagement strategies that have been discussed in this paper have important implications for the following stakeholders in higher education: university administrators, instructors, policymakers and the students themselves. Since learning in the future is set to become more hybrid and digital, there is a need for a deliberate shift in policy, pedagogy, and support systems to enhance student engagement in online learning environments.

#### ***For University Administrators***

1. Telecom providers should work with universities to provide discounted data plans for students and staff members so they can access online learning platforms more easily.
2. Student Success Centres should be established to provide virtual academic advice together with technical support

and online mental health counseling services.

#### **For Instructors**

1. Teachers should implement psychological teaching methods which include autonomy-supportive feedback and mastery goal setting and peer collaboration promotion.
2. Teachers should establish emotional connections with students through regular empathetic communication while using low-tech tools such as WhatsApp and Google Forms.

#### **For Policymakers in Higher Education**

1. All online courses should include psychosocial support modules which focus on student emotional well-being.
2. All universities should implement standardized digital learning platforms to provide equal access and training opportunities to students.

#### **For Students**

1. Students should practice self-regulated learning through digital planning tools and study skills workshops.
2. Students should join virtual peer communities for academic collaboration and emotional support and access mental health resources when needed.

These recommendations establish an online student engagement model for African higher education that combines psychological principles with equity-focused and culturally sensitive approaches.

#### **Contribution to Knowledge**

This paper contributes to the knowledge of online student engagement by applying psychological theories such as Self-Determination Theory and the Community of Inquiry Model to the African higher education context with a focus on Nigeria. The paper connects

academic research to practical examples from institutions such as the University of Ibadan and Covenant University to show how psychological interventions can be cost-effectively implemented in resource-constrained environments. The study brings forward African scholars and region-specific data to challenge the global literature's lack of local perspectives. The study develops a complete engagement framework which combines emotional well-being with digital equity and social presence. The research provides specific, actionable recommendations for administrators, instructors, policymakers and students which balance academic value with practical application.

#### **Conclusion**

Student engagement in online learning is a complex topic that encompasses motivation, emotion, social presence, and cognitive processing. This paper has therefore sought to contribute to the body of research on the psychology of student engagement in online learning, which is becoming increasingly important as higher education institutions continue to move online, especially in Nigeria and other similar contexts where technological infrastructure and mental health support are still being developed.

This paper has identified the most common psychological difficulties university learners face while studying online as cognitive overload, lack of motivation, social isolation, and mental health issues. These challenges are made worse by digital divide and systemic challenges that specifically affect students from developing countries.

However, this study also suggests that effective and context-sensitive interventions, based on theories like Self-Determination Theory and the Community of Inquiry model, can greatly improve student engagement. There are also examples from real life, such as adaptive



learning platforms at Arizona State University, WhatsApp-supported teaching at the University of Ibadan, and blended support systems at Covenant University, which show that psychological engagement can be fostered through inclusive, supportive and interactive strategies.

Furthermore, Nigerian scholars and institutions are well represented in this discourse. Their work shows that localized solutions, which are based on the lived realities of African students, can be as effective as global models if properly adapted.

Thus, the future success of online learning in Nigeria and across Africa will not only depend on the improvement of infrastructure and policy but also on our ability to create learning environments that are empathetic, motivating, and psychologically supportive. In conclusion, universities should focus on student engagement in online education to enhance learning outcomes, increase retention and foster the next generation of digitally empowered and resilient learners.

## References

- Adedoyin, O. B., & Soykan, E. (2022). Covid-19 pandemic and online learning: The case of Covenant University. *Education Sciences*, 12(2), 60-76. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12020060>
- Adeoye, B. F., & Alhassan, M. A. (2022). Online learning and student fatigue: The new digital stressor in Nigerian universities. *African Journal of Educational Research and Development*, 15(1), 54-66.
- Aguilera-Hermida, A. P., Quiroga-Garza, A., Gómez-Mendoza, S., Del Río, N. G., Avolio, B. E., Avila, C. M., & López, M. S. H. (2021). Comparison of students' use and acceptance of emergency online learning due to COVID-19 in the USA, Mexico, Peru, and Turkey. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(6), 6823-6845. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10500-x>
- Ajadi, T. O., Salawu, I. O., & Adeoye, F. A. (2021). COVID-19 and the shift to online learning: Student engagement and coping strategies in Nigerian universities. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Technology*, 4(1), 33-44.
- Al-Azawei, A., Serenelli, F., & Lundqvist, K. (2016). Universal Design for Learning (UDL): A content analysis of peer-reviewed journal papers from 2012 to 2015. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 16(3), 39-56. <https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v16i3.19295>
- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2017). Digital learning compass: Distance education enrollment report 2017. Babson Survey Research Group.
- Arizona State University. (2019, August 20). ASU develops world's first adaptive-learning biology degree. ASU News. <https://news.asu.edu/20190820-solutions-asu-develops-world-first-adaptive-learning-biology-degree>
- Association of Public and Land-grant Universities. (2018). Accelerating adoption of adaptive courseware at public universities: Arizona State University. APLU. <https://www.aplu.org/wp-content/uploads/2018-arizona-state-university-adaptive-courseware-report.pdf>

- Becker, S. P., Bakal, T. H., & Conklin, S. M. (2018). Student mental health in the era of digital learning: Trends, challenges, and solutions. *Journal of College Student Psychotherapy*, 32(3), 219–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87568225.2018.1473449>
- Bergdahl, N., Nouri, J., Fors, U., & Knutsson, O. (2024). Unpacking student engagement in higher education learning analytics: A systematic review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00409-2>
- Besser, A., Flett, G. L., & Zeigler-Hill, V. (2020). Adaptability to a sudden transition to online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: Understanding the challenges for students. *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology*, 8(2), 85–105. <https://doi.org/10.1037/stl0000198>
- Bolliger, D. U., & Inan, F. A. (2012). Development and validation of the Online Student Connectedness Survey (OSCS). *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 13(3), 41–65. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v13i3.1171>
- Broadbent, J. (2017). Comparing online and blended learner's self-regulated learning strategies and academic performance. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 33, 24–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2017.01.004>
- Catalyst IT. (2023). National Open University of Nigeria – Moodle hosting and LMS stability [Case study]. Catalyst EU. <https://www.catalyst-eu.net/case-studies/national-open-university-of-nigeria>
- Chimbo, B., Mutezo, A. T., & Maré, S. (2023). Postgraduate students' online learning challenges during COVID-19 within the Community of Inquiry framework context. *Cogent Education*, 10(2), 2254673. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2254673>
- Coursera. (2020). Covenant University pioneers blended curriculum in Africa: Case study. Coursera for Campus. <https://www.coursera.org/campus/resources/ebooks/covenant-university-case-study>
- Czerkawski, B. C., & Lyman, E. W. (2016). An instructional design framework for fostering student engagement in online learning environments. *Tech Trends*, 60(6), 532–539. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-016-0110-z>
- Dichev, C., & Dicheva, D. (2017). Gamifying education: What is known, what is believed and what remains uncertain. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 14(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0042-5>
- Dixson, M. D. (2015). Measuring student engagement in the online course: The Online Student Engagement scale (OSE). *Online Learning*, 19(4), 143–157. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v19i4.561>
- Eze, S. C., Chinedu-Eze, V. C., & Bello, A. O. (2021). Exploring factors

- influencing students' continuous intention to use online learning platforms in developing countries. *Educational Information Technology*, 26(6), 7159–7181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10512-x>
- Ezeanya, C. U., Ukaigwe, J. A., Ogbaga, I. N., & Kwanashie, A. (2024). Enhancing social engagement among online learners using AI-driven tools: National Open University of Nigeria learners' perspective. *African Journal of Educational Research and Development (AJERD)*. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382298341\\_Enhancing\\_Social\\_Engagement\\_among\\_Online\\_Learners\\_Using\\_AI-Driven\\_Tools\\_National\\_Open\\_University\\_of\\_Nigeria\\_Learners%27\\_Perspective](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382298341_Enhancing_Social_Engagement_among_Online_Learners_Using_AI-Driven_Tools_National_Open_University_of_Nigeria_Learners%27_Perspective)
- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59–109. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074001059>
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 2(2–3), 87–105. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516\(00\)00016-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516(00)00016-6)
- Guo, P. J., Kim, J., & Rubin, R. (2014). How video production affects student engagement: An empirical study of MOOC videos. *Proceedings of the First ACM Conference on Learning at Scale Conference*, 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2556325.2566239>
- Hartnett, M. (2016). *Motivation in online education*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0700-2>
- Heilporn, G., & Lakhal, S. (2024). Student engagement in a higher education course. *Education and Information Technologies: Reports*, 1(1), 23–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-024-09630-7>
- Kahu, E. R. (2013). Framing student engagement in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 38(5), 758–773. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2011.598505>
- Kizilcec, R. F., & Halawa, S. (2015). Attrition and achievement gaps in online learning. *Proceedings of the Second ACM Conference on Learning at Scale*, 57–66. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2724660.2724680>
- Krause, K.-L., & Armitage, L. (2019). Belonging and engagement in online learning: The dual challenge of building social and cognitive presence. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 38(6), 1232–1245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2019.1615410>
- Kuh, G. D. (2009). What student affairs professionals need to know about student engagement. *Journal of College Student Development*, 50(6), 683–706. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.0.0099>
- Lee, H., Kim, J., & Kim, H. (2021). Online learning and student mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A

- scoping review. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 63, 102733. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2021.102733>
- Lee, J. (2021). Mental health effects of school closures during COVID-19. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*, 5(6), 421. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(21\)00105-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(21)00105-X)
- Martin, F., & Bolliger, D. U. (2018). Engagement matters: Student perceptions on the importance of engagement strategies in the online learning environment. *Online Learning*, 22(1), 205–222. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i1.1092>
- Martin, F., Ritzhaupt, A. D., Kumar, S., & Budhrani, K. (2019). Award-winning faculty online teaching practices: Roles and competencies. *Online Learning*, 23(1), 184–205. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v23i1.1329>
- Martin, F., Wu, T., Wan, L., & Xie, K. (2022). A meta-analysis on the Community of Inquiry presences and learning outcomes in online and blended learning environments. *Online Learning*, 26(1), 325–359. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v26i1.2602>
- Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Bakia, M. (2014). The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Teachers College Record*, 116(1), 1–47.
- Muilenburg, L. Y., & Berge, Z. L. (2016). Student barriers to online learning: A factor analytic study. *Distance Education*, 29(1), 29–48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587910802004852>
- Ngubane-Mokiwa, S. A., & Khoza, S. B. (2021). Using Community of Inquiry (CoI) to facilitate the design of a holistic e-learning experience for students with visual impairments. *Education Sciences*, 11(4), 152. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11040152>
- Okunuki, A., & Kashimura, Y. (2024). Student engagement and academic achievement in second language learning: A meta-analysis. *JACET Journal*, 68, 45–63.
- Panadero, E. (2017). A review of self-regulated learning: Six models and four directions for research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 422. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00422>
- Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., Hamilton, L. S., & Pane, J. D. (2017). Informing Progress: Insights on Personalized Learning Implementation and Effects. RAND Corporation.
- Peimani, N., & Kamalipour, H. (2021). Online education and student engagement during the COVID-19 pandemic: A case study of higher education in Iran. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 50(1), 11–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00472395211015202>
- Richardson, J. C., Maeda, Y., Swan, K., & Ice, P. (2017). Predicting student performance: The relationship between online course quality and student engagement. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 33, 22–32.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2017.01.003>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness. Guilford Press.
- Son, C., Hegde, S., Smith, A., Wang, X., & Sasangohar, F. (2020). Effects of COVID-19 on college students' mental health in the United States: Interview survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(9), e21279. <https://doi.org/10.2196/21279>
- Stenbom, S. (2018). A systematic review of the Community of Inquiry survey. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 39, 22–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2018.06.001>
- Sweller, J., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Paas, F. G. W. C. (2019). Cognitive architecture and instructional design: 20 years later. *Educational Psychology Review*, 31, 261–292. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09465-5>
- Teng, Y. (2024). Investigating relationships between community of inquiry presences and student outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21, 40. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00440-x>
- Toda, A. M., Valle, P. H. M., Isotani, S., & Bittencourt, I. I. (2019). The dark side of gamification: An overview of negative effects of gamification in education. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 1006, 143–156. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15130-0\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15130-0_11)
- Tshabalala, M., Ndeya-Ndereya, C., & van der Merwe, T. (2014). Implementing blended learning at a developing university: Obstacles in the way. *The Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, 12(1), 101–110.
- Vanguard News. (2020, September 7). Covenant University partners Coursera for blended learning. Vanguard Nigeria. <https://www.vanguardngr.com/2020/09/covenant-university-partners-coursera-for-blended-learning>
- Xie, K., Heddy, B. C., & Greene, B. A. (2019). Student modeling of cognitive engagement in online learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 54(4), 250–263. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2019.1655044>
- Yu, T., Tian, S., Vogel, D., & Kwok, R. (2020). Can learning be virtually boosted? An investigation of online engagement and learning achievement. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25(5), 3161–3178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10171-3>
- Zureick-Brown, S., Lavelle, K., Horne, M., & Underwood, W. (2022). Distraction and disengagement in online learning: Impacts on academic performance during COVID-19. *Online Learning Journal*, 26(1), 111–129. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v26i1.3013>