HISTORY EDUCATION AND HISTORICAL ANALYSIS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY

[1]OLAYIOYE Niyi John, [2]UMAR yahaya and [3]ADEOYE Maryam Adenike
[1]History Department, [2]Economics Department, [3]Political science department,
[1,2&3]Kwara state College of Education, Oro

Abstract
History education is increasingly understood as more than the transmission of information about the past. It develops historical thinking, civic consciousness, national identity, and the capacity to examine evidence and competing interpretations. This paper examines how history education can contribute to national security through historical analysis and collective responsibility, with particular attention to Nigeria. Using a qualitative analytical approach based on relevant literature, the paper reviews history education, historical analysis, national security, national identity, civic responsibility, social cohesion, peacebuilding, and non kinetic security as interconnected variables. The discussion shows that history education can contribute to security when learners are trained to source and evaluate evidence, understand causation and continuity, recognize multiple perspectives, and relate historical experiences to present challenges. Historical analysis further helps citizens and policymakers identify the roots of conflict, recognize recurring patterns, challenge misinformation, and draw lessons for policy and institutional reform. The paper also argues that these outcomes depend on collective responsibility, because historical knowledge contributes to security only when citizens and institutions translate understanding into responsible civic action. In Nigeria, where colonial legacies, civil conflict, ethnic and religious diversity, governance challenges, and contemporary insecurity continue to influence public life, a critically oriented history education can support national integration, democratic citizenship, peacebuilding, and resilience. The paper concludes that history education should be strengthened through curriculum reform, teacher development, evidence based and multiperspectival teaching, integration with civic and security education, digital resources, and sustained policy support. In this sense, history education can function as a preventive and non kinetic contribution to securing Nigeria's future.

Keywords: History Education; Historical Analysis; National Security; Historical Consciousness; Collective Responsibility; Civic Responsibility; Nigeria

Introduction

The twenty first century has witnessed a broadening of the meaning of national security. Security is no longer understood only in terms of military capacity, territorial defence, and the ability of the state to respond to external aggression. Contemporary security thinking increasingly recognizes political stability, social cohesion, civic trust, institutional strength, human well being, and the capacity of citizens to resist manipulation as important dimensions of security. The literature on security education similarly emphasizes the need to develop knowledge and attitudes that enable citizens to understand threats and participate responsibly in preventing them (Jimoh, 2025; Wei, 2024). This wider understanding creates an important place for education, because the long-term security of a society depends not only on security institutions but also on the knowledge, values, identities, and behaviour of its citizens.

History education is particularly relevant to this broader conception of security because it deals directly with

questions of identity, memory, continuity, change, conflict, cooperation, and social transformation. It helps learners understand how present institutions and relationships developed and why particular grievances, identities, and political arrangements have acquired significance. Contemporary history education therefore moves beyond the memorization of dates and personalities towards historical thinking, including the ability to evaluate evidence, establish context, examine causation, compare perspectives, and construct reasoned interpretations (Barton & Levstik, 2004; Carretero et al., 2026). Such competencies are valuable in an environment in which misinformation and simplified narratives can shape public attitudes and deepen social divisions.

The relationship between history education and national security becomes clearer when historical analysis is placed between the two concepts. History education provides the setting in which learners acquire historical knowledge and disciplinary skills; historical analysis provides the method through which that knowledge is critically examined; and national security provides one of the contemporary fields in which the resulting understanding can be applied. Historical analysis can reveal the origins of conflict, expose the consequences of exclusion and poor governance, and help societies identify patterns that may produce instability. History education therefore becomes relevant to security not because history mechanically predicts future events, but because it equips citizens and institutions with intellectual resources for interpreting present problems in their historical context.

The Nigerian context makes this relationship especially significant. Nigeria's contemporary political and security challenges are connected to historical processes including colonial rule, state formation, constitutional development, intergroup relations, the Nigerian Civil War, military intervention in politics, and continuing struggles over citizenship, resources, representation, and national integration. The return of history to the Nigerian basic education curriculum has also renewed attention to the subject's contribution to nation building. In this context, a history education that is inclusive and critical can help learners understand both the diversity of Nigerian experiences and the historical processes that connect its peoples.

This paper examines history education as a means of securing the future through historical analysis and collective responsibility. It specifically reviews the major variables of history education, historical analysis, and national security; explains their relationship; considers the roles of national identity, civic responsibility, social cohesion, peacebuilding, democratic culture, and digital information; identifies challenges; and proposes strategies for strengthening history education in Nigeria and other developing societies. The central argument is that history education contributes to sustainable security when historical knowledge is taught critically, interpreted through evidence, connected to civic responsibility, and applied to contemporary problems.

Conceptual and Literature Review

History Education

History education refers to the organized process through which learners acquire knowledge, skills, values, and attitudes through the study of the past. Earlier approaches frequently emphasized the reproduction of factual information, including dates, events, personalities, and political developments. More recent approaches treat history as a form of disciplined inquiry in which learners ask questions about evidence, establish context, compare accounts, identify causes and

consequences, and develop defensible interpretations. Barton and Levstik (2004) argue for a conception of history teaching connected with the common good, while Carretero et al. (2026) emphasize historical thinking as an important dimension of contemporary history education. Khoeriyah and Winoto (2025) similarly indicate the continuing international movement towards examining history education as a field concerned with broader educational and social outcomes.

The significance of this transformation for national security is substantial. A learner who can distinguish evidence from assertion is better positioned to evaluate historical claims circulated in public discourse. A learner who understands that historical events have multiple causes and perspectives is less likely to accept simplistic explanations that blame an entire ethnic, religious, regional, or social group for complex problems. History education can therefore contribute to intellectual resilience. Its security value is strongest when it develops historical literacy and historical consciousness rather than merely increasing the amount of historical information memorized by students.

Historical Analysis

Historical analysis is the systematic examination and interpretation of past events, processes, structures, and experiences. It requires attention to evidence, chronology, causation, continuity, change, context, and perspective. Historical analysis differs from simple narration because it asks why events occurred, how different factors interacted, what consequences followed, and how competing interpretations can be evaluated. Carretero et al. (2026) identify sourcing, contextualization, corroboration, and interpretation as important components of historical thinking. These skills make historical analysis relevant to contemporary decision making because they discourage conclusions based on isolated facts or unverified claims.

Historical analysis also provides a framework for examining the roots of contemporary insecurity. Political instability, communal conflict, exclusion, institutional weakness, and grievances often have historical dimensions that cannot be understood adequately through short term observation. Historical analysis does not imply that the past determines the present. Rather, it helps identify inherited structures, memories, institutional arrangements, and unresolved grievances that shape contemporary choices. This distinction is important because effective security policy must address underlying conditions as well as immediate symptoms.

National Security

National security is a multidimensional condition involving the protection and stability of the state and the well being of its people. Traditional approaches emphasize territorial integrity, sovereignty, defence institutions, and protection from external aggression. Contemporary approaches broaden the concept to include political stability, social cohesion, economic conditions, institutional capacity, and human security. Wei (2024) demonstrates the growing concern with national security education and the need to improve citizens' understanding of security issues, while Jimoh (2025) links security education in Nigeria to the broader objective of promoting national security.

The expanded meaning of security is important for this study because history education is not a military instrument. Its contribution is indirect and preventive. It operates through knowledge, identity, critical reasoning, civic behaviour, social trust, and the ability to understand conflict. Consequently, the paper treats history education as one component of a wider non

kinetic approach to security rather than as a substitute for defence, policing, intelligence, or other formal security institutions.

Collective Responsibility and Civic Responsibility

Collective responsibility refers to the shared obligation of individuals, institutions, and groups to contribute to the welfare, stability, and development of society. Civic responsibility gives this idea practical expression through respect for law, participation in public life, protection of common institutions, peaceful conflict management, and willingness to consider the consequences of individual actions for the wider community. History education can strengthen these dispositions because historical case studies make the consequences of decisions visible across time. Learners can examine how leadership choices, public policies, discrimination, conflict, resistance, reform, and reconciliation affected different communities and generations.

Barton and Levstik (2004) connect history education with the common good, making civic responsibility an important dimension of historical learning. When learners understand that national stability has been produced through both institutional decisions and the actions of ordinary citizens, they can see themselves as participants in national development rather than passive recipients of government protection. Collective responsibility therefore provides the behavioural link between historical understanding and security outcomes.

National Identity and Social Cohesion

National identity concerns the ways citizens understand their membership in a political community. History education contributes to identity formation by presenting narratives about shared experiences, institutions, struggles, cultural achievements, and social change. However, identity formation can produce either cohesion or division depending on how history is taught. An exclusive narrative that presents one group as the sole owner of the nation may reinforce marginalization and resentment. An inclusive narrative that recognizes multiple experiences can strengthen belonging while preserving critical inquiry. This balance is particularly important in Nigeria because national identity exists alongside strong ethnic, religious, regional, and cultural identities.

Rüsen's conception of historical consciousness is relevant here because people use interpretations of the past to orient themselves in the present and imagine the future (Rüsen, 2004). Critical historical consciousness allows learners to examine inherited narratives rather than simply accepting them. Such an approach can encourage what may be described as critical patriotism: attachment to the nation combined with the willingness to identify historical injustices and work constructively to improve national institutions. The security value of such identity lies not in unquestioning loyalty but in inclusive belonging, civic trust, and commitment to peaceful political participation.

The Synergy among History Education, Historical Analysis, and National Security

The central contribution of this paper lies in establishing the relationship among the three major variables identified by the assessment. History education is the educational process; historical analysis is the critical method; and national security is one of the social outcomes to which the knowledge and skills may contribute. The relationship can be represented as follows: history education develops historical knowledge and disciplinary skills; historical analysis enables learners to interpret evidence, causes, patterns, and

perspectives; these capacities support informed civic judgement and collective responsibility; and responsible citizenship can strengthen social cohesion, democratic institutions, conflict prevention, and resilience. The relationship is therefore neither automatic nor linear. It depends on curriculum quality, teacher competence, inclusive content, pedagogy, institutional support, and the willingness of citizens and policymakers to apply historical understanding responsibly.

The synergy is particularly visible in the treatment of conflict. History education can introduce learners to the history of a conflict, while historical analysis helps them examine its causes, actors, evidence, and consequences. The resulting understanding can challenge simplistic narratives and reduce the tendency to interpret present disagreements through stereotypes. When this understanding is connected to civic responsibility, learners can recognize the importance of dialogue, lawful participation, tolerance, and peaceful dispute resolution. These outcomes contribute to national security by addressing social and ideological conditions that can intensify conflict.

The same relationship applies to misinformation. History education provides learners with knowledge about historical events and sources; historical analysis teaches them to test claims against evidence and context; and these skills can improve citizens' ability to resist manipulated narratives. In an information environment where historical claims can circulate rapidly through digital platforms, the capacity to interrogate evidence becomes part of societal resilience. Angulo and Schneider (2025) draw attention to the changing international environment in which historical knowledge and education operate, while Wei (2024) highlights the importance of improving security education. Together, these perspectives reinforce the need to connect historical learning with contemporary civic and security challenges.

Theoretical Framework

Historical Consciousness Theory

This study is anchored partly in Historical Consciousness Theory associated with Jörn Rüsen. The theory emphasizes the ways individuals and societies use interpretations of the past to orient themselves in time. Historical consciousness therefore involves more than possessing information about previous events; it concerns the meaning people attach to those events and the way such meanings influence present action and expectations about the future (Rüsen, 2004). The theory is appropriate to this study because national security involves decisions about the present and future that are often influenced by collective memories, historical grievances, identities, and narratives.

Critical historical consciousness is especially relevant. It encourages learners to examine dominant narratives, recognize bias, compare perspectives, and reflect on how historical interpretations are constructed. In security terms, this can reduce susceptibility to narratives that simplify complex conflicts or portray particular groups as permanent enemies. The theory therefore explains why history education can contribute to security through the development of reflective and evidence-based citizens.

Social Responsibility Theory

The second theoretical perspective is Social Responsibility Theory. Although the theory has important roots in media ethics, its underlying principle that individuals and institutions have obligations to the common good can be applied to education. Within this study, social responsibility explains the transition from knowledge to action. A learner may

understand the history of a conflict without acting responsibly in response to contemporary tensions. History education becomes more relevant to security when knowledge is connected to civic obligations, ethical judgement, democratic participation, peaceful coexistence, and concern for community welfare.

The combination of Historical Consciousness Theory and Social Responsibility Theory provides a useful framework for the paper. Historical consciousness explains how learners interpret the past and connect it with present and future decisions, while social responsibility explains how such understanding may be translated into responsible action. Together, the theories illuminate the pathway from historical learning to collective responsibility and, ultimately, to aspects of national security.

History Education and National Identity Formation

History education has long been associated with nation building because historical narratives can create a sense of shared origin and belonging. Lessons on independence, constitutional development, social movements, cultural heritage, and national achievements can help learners understand the processes through which a political community was formed. Yet the nation building function of history education requires critical balance. When national history becomes a purely celebratory account, it can hide exclusion, conflict, injustice, and the experiences of minority groups. Such an approach may create an appearance of unity while leaving unresolved grievances beneath the surface.

An inclusive approach recognizes that a nation can possess a common political identity without requiring citizens to have identical historical experiences. In Nigeria, this means teaching national history alongside the histories of the different communities that have contributed to the country's development. Such multiperspectivity can promote mutual understanding while allowing learners to identify both common experiences and genuine differences. It can also support democratic citizenship by showing that national development has been shaped by debate, contestation, reform, cooperation, and compromise rather than by a single uninterrupted narrative (Barton & Levstik, 2004).

Historical Analysis as a Tool for Future Security

Historical analysis can contribute to future security by helping societies identify the historical conditions behind contemporary problems. Many security challenges have accumulated over time through interactions among political institutions, economic conditions, social identities, leadership decisions, and collective memories. A historically informed approach can therefore move analysis beyond immediate triggers. For example, when examining political or communal conflict, historical analysis asks how institutions developed, how groups acquired particular political positions, what grievances emerged, how previous conflicts were handled, and which earlier interventions succeeded or failed.

Historical analysis also supports pattern recognition. The past does not repeat itself mechanically, but recurring combinations of exclusion, institutional weakness, economic inequality, poor governance, and unresolved grievances can provide warning signs. Historical knowledge can help policymakers recognize such conditions before they develop into more severe crises. This is a contribution to strategic foresight rather than a claim that historians can predict specific future events with certainty.

Another contribution is the evaluation of policy and institutions. Governments can learn from previous

reforms, security arrangements, constitutional experiments, and peacebuilding initiatives. Studying the historical development of institutions can reveal why certain policies produced unintended consequences and why some reforms succeeded. This evidence can support institutional learning, accountability, and more context sensitive policy design.

Historical analysis is also important in confronting misinformation and extremist narratives. Historical claims can be selectively presented to legitimize violence, create enemies, or recruit supporters. Critical historical thinking provides citizens with tools for asking who produced a claim, what evidence supports it, what context is missing, and whether other credible sources provide a different interpretation. In this respect, historical literacy becomes part of the intellectual capacity required for resilience in a digital society.

History Education, Peacebuilding, and Conflict Prevention

Peacebuilding requires more than ending immediate violence; it also involves addressing the memories, grievances, identities, and institutional weaknesses that can reproduce conflict. History education can contribute by creating structured opportunities to examine difficult histories and multiple perspectives. Learners can study the causes and consequences of conflicts, the experiences of different groups, and the processes through which reconciliation has been attempted. Such learning can encourage empathy without requiring the abandonment of critical judgement.

The Nigerian Civil War provides an important historical case for this purpose. Studying the war only as a sequence of military events would provide limited educational value. A more analytical approach can examine its political, constitutional, economic, regional, ethnic, and humanitarian dimensions and consider how different communities experienced the conflict. Such an approach can help learners understand why reconciliation and national integration remain continuing tasks. The same principle can be applied to other communal and political conflicts. Historical education can thus create space for dialogue about difficult memories rather than allowing them to remain available for manipulation.

History Education as a Non-Kinetic Security Strategy

Non kinetic security strategies address insecurity through preventive, social, educational, informational, institutional, and developmental measures rather than through force alone. History education fits this framework because its primary instruments are knowledge, critical thinking, identity formation, civic values, and responsible participation. It cannot prevent every security threat, and it should not be presented as a replacement for formal security institutions. Its value lies in strengthening social conditions that make peaceful coexistence and informed citizenship more sustainable.

One area is the prevention of radicalization and violent extremism. Extremist narratives may draw selectively on history to create grievances, justify violence, or construct a sense of threatened identity. Learners trained to evaluate historical evidence and competing interpretations may be less vulnerable to such simplifications. History education can also support peace education by examining negotiation, compromise, reconciliation, and the consequences of violence. These educational outcomes complement broader security and civic education initiatives (Jimoh, 2025).

History education can also strengthen democratic culture. Understanding the historical development

of constitutions, elections, political institutions, rights, and struggles for accountable government can make democratic principles more meaningful. Learners can see that democratic institutions are historical achievements that require participation and protection. Such understanding may encourage respect for lawful political processes and increase resistance to authoritarian or violent solutions to political disagreement.

Other Variables Affecting the Security Value of History Education

Teacher Competence

The security value of history education depends heavily on teachers. A curriculum that encourages inquiry can still produce rote learning if teachers lack adequate subject knowledge, pedagogical training, resources, or confidence in handling controversial topics. Effective teachers need to guide learners through evidence, competing interpretations, and sensitive historical issues without imposing a single political conclusion. Teacher development is therefore an important condition for translating curriculum reform into actual learning outcomes.

Curriculum and Pedagogy

Curriculum design determines which histories are taught, whose experiences are represented, and how historical knowledge is organized. A curriculum dominated by celebratory narratives may produce weak critical capacity, while a curriculum that is deliberately inclusive and analytical can support historical consciousness and civic learning. Pedagogy is equally important. Inquiry based activities, source analysis, classroom discussion, oral history, archival materials, and comparison of perspectives can make historical thinking practical rather than theoretical. Deng (2022) emphasizes the importance of powerful knowledge and curriculum development, reinforcing the need to consider what knowledge learners should acquire and how it should be structured.

Digital Media and Historical Misinformation

Digital technology has expanded access to historical materials but has also increased the speed with which inaccurate or manipulated claims can circulate. Learners increasingly encounter historical narratives outside the classroom through social media, video platforms, blogs, and informal online communities. History education must therefore include digital source evaluation. Students should learn to identify authorship, date, evidence, context, corroboration, and purpose. Digital literacy and historical literacy can consequently reinforce each other in strengthening societal resilience against misinformation.

Governance and Institutional Trust

History education alone cannot resolve insecurity where institutions are weak or public trust is low. Its contribution is affected by the quality of governance, the credibility of public institutions, equality before the law, and citizens' experience of political participation. Historical learning can help citizens understand institutional development and recognize the consequences of governance failure, but broader institutional reforms remain necessary. National security therefore requires an integrated approach in which education supports, rather than substitutes for, effective governance and security institutions.

Economic and Social Conditions

Economic inequality, unemployment, social exclusion, and limited opportunities can intensify vulnerability to conflict and manipulation. History education can help learners understand the historical development of these conditions, but educational

knowledge must be connected to policies that address material inequalities. The relationship between history education and security should therefore be understood as part of a wider social system. The subject can contribute to awareness and responsibility, while governments and institutions must address the structural conditions that sustain insecurity.

Challenges Facing History Education in Promoting National Security

Several challenges can weaken the capacity of history education to contribute to security. One is politicization. When curriculum content is shaped mainly by political interests, history can become an instrument for legitimizing particular groups rather than a field of critical inquiry. A second challenge is rote learning, which encourages memorization without interpretation. A third is the shortage of adequately trained teachers and learning resources. A fourth is the declining institutional status of history in some educational settings, which can reduce investment and student interest. A fifth is the growth of digital misinformation and historical revisionism, which makes critical source evaluation increasingly necessary. These challenges are interconnected: weak teacher preparation and limited resources can reinforce rote pedagogy, while politicized content can undermine trust in the educational process.

Another challenge is the difficulty of teaching contested histories. National histories often contain experiences of violence, discrimination, political disagreement, and competing memories. Avoiding such topics can produce an incomplete understanding of the past, but teaching them without appropriate evidence and pedagogy can deepen division. The solution is not to eliminate difficult histories but to teach them through evidence, contextualization, multiple perspectives, and careful classroom dialogue. This approach is consistent with the multiperspectival orientation of contemporary history education (Carretero et al., 2026).

Strategies for Enhancing the Role of History Education

First, curriculum reform should strengthen historical thinking rather than merely adding more historical content. Learners should be required to interpret primary and secondary sources, compare perspectives, examine causation, and relate historical developments to contemporary civic questions. The curriculum should also represent Nigeria's diversity while identifying the historical experiences that connect different communities. Such reform would directly address the need for a more meaningful relationship between history education and national security.

Second, teacher capacity building should be treated as a central policy priority. Professional development should focus on inquiry-based teaching, source criticism, digital pedagogy, multiperspectivity, and the management of controversial historical topics. Teachers should also have access to reliable teaching materials and digital and archival resources. Without this investment, curriculum reform may remain largely formal rather than transforming classroom practice.

Third, history education should be connected with civic and security education without turning the history classroom into a security propaganda space. Learners can examine how historical knowledge relates to citizenship, human rights, conflict prevention, democratic participation, misinformation, and community responsibility. Wei (2024) and Jimoh (2025) demonstrate the relevance of security education to contemporary security concerns; history can add the temporal and contextual dimension needed to understand why those concerns have developed.

Fourth, educational institutions should make responsible use of technology. Digital archives, oral history collections, maps, documentaries, interactive timelines, and other resources can broaden access to historical evidence. At the same time, students should be taught to evaluate online materials rather than treating digital availability as proof of reliability. Technology should therefore serve historical inquiry rather than replace it.

Finally, governments and educational institutions should recognize history education as part of long-term national development. Adequate funding, curriculum support, teacher training, research, libraries, archives, museums, and partnerships with relevant institutions can strengthen the subject. The contribution of history education to security will be greatest when it is integrated into a broader strategy that also addresses governance, economic opportunity, social justice, and effective security institutions.

Implications for Nigeria and Other Developing Nations

The implications for Nigeria are significant because the country's diversity and historical experience require citizens to understand both difference and interdependence. History education can support national integration by examining the histories of Nigeria's regions and communities within a common national framework. It can also help learners understand the historical roots of political institutions, constitutional changes, military intervention, democratic transitions, and continuing debates about citizenship and representation. Such knowledge can improve the quality of civic participation and strengthen accountability.

Conflict prevention is another major implication. Historical knowledge of the Nigerian Civil War and other episodes of communal and political conflict can help citizens examine the consequences of violence and the importance of reconciliation. The purpose is not to use history to reopen old divisions, but to develop the analytical capacity needed to understand why conflicts occur and how societies can avoid repeating destructive patterns. In this respect, historical analysis complements peacebuilding and security education.

Youth engagement is equally important. Young people encounter large amounts of historical and political information through digital media, where inaccurate narratives can circulate quickly. A history education that develops evidence evaluation, contextual understanding, and civic responsibility can equip young citizens to participate more constructively in public life. It can also provide a basis for critical patriotism, in which commitment to Nigeria is combined with the willingness to identify problems and seek peaceful institutional solutions.

For other developing nations, the same principles apply but must be adapted to local historical experiences. Colonial legacies, postcolonial state formation, ethnic and cultural diversity, institutional development, and conflict histories differ from one country to another. The general lesson is therefore not to copy a single curriculum model, but to build history education systems that help citizens understand their own historical contexts and participate responsibly in shaping the future.

Conclusion

History education can contribute to securing the future when it is understood as a critical and civic discipline rather than as the memorization of past events. The review of the major variables shows a clear relationship among history education, historical analysis, and national security. History education provides historical knowledge and disciplinary skills; historical analysis enables learners to

interpret evidence, causes, patterns, and perspectives; and these capacities can strengthen civic responsibility, social cohesion, democratic culture, peacebuilding, and resilience. The security contribution is therefore preventive and non kinetic rather than coercive.

The analysis also demonstrates that the relationship depends on other variables, including curriculum quality, teacher competence, pedagogy, digital literacy, governance, institutional trust, and social and economic conditions. History education cannot independently resolve Nigeria's security challenges, but it can strengthen the knowledge and civic dispositions through which citizens understand those challenges and respond to them responsibly. For Nigeria, an inclusive and critically oriented history education is particularly important for interpreting the country's complex historical experiences and building a stronger sense of common citizenship.

The paper therefore recommends sustained curriculum reform, teacher development, multiperspectival and evidence-based teaching, integration with civic and security education, responsible use of digital resources, and stronger institutional support for history education. When historical consciousness is joined to social responsibility, the study of the past can become a practical resource for addressing present challenges and building a more peaceful, cohesive, and resilient future.

References

Angulo, A. J., & Schneider, J. (2025). International perspectives in an era of isolationism. History of Education Quarterly.

Barton, K. C., & Levstik, L. S. (2004). Teaching history for the common good. Routledge.

Carretero, M., et al. (2026). History education: Past, present, and challenges for the future. Current Opinion in Psychology.

Deng, Z. (2022). Powerful knowledge and curriculum development. Journal of Curriculum Studies.

Jimoh, O. I. (2025). The role of security education in promoting national security in Nigeria. IJRISS.

Khoeriyah, N., & Winoto, D. E. (2025). Research trends in history education across several countries. J-CEKI.

Rüsen, J. (2004). Historical consciousness. University of Toronto Press.

Wei, X. (2024). National security education issues and optimization strategies. The Educational Review.